

RE: RECESSED MEETING, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2012, 6:00 P.M.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman.

PRESENT: Gilbert A. Smith, Chairman
Floyd H. Miles, Sr., Vice Chair
William G. Coad

RE: CARRYOVER REQUEST

Motion was made by William Coad to approve the carryovers of FY2012 funds to the FY2013 Budget in the total amount of \$54,537, to be included in the Capital Improvement line item for water and wastewater repairs, as requested. The motion carried as follows:

William G. Coad	Aye
Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

RE: LEWIS PARK BOAT RAMP BIDS

Motion was made by William Coad to accept the bid of Harbor Dredge in the amount of \$124,500 and authorize staff to enter into contract with Harbor Dredge to construct the Lawrence Lewis, Jr. boat ramp project. With the stipulation that, if staff is not able to do so in a timely manner, authorizing them to move forward with accepting the bid of Aaron Tree Service in the amount of \$153,817.50, and proceed with entering into contract with them for the boat ramp project. The motion carried as follows:

William G. Coad	Aye
Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

RE: RESOLUTION OPPOSING TOLLS ON INTERSTATE 95

Motion was made by Floyd H. Miles, Sr. to approve the Resolution in Opposition to the Installation of Toll Collection Facilities on Interstate 95. The motion carried as follows:

Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
William G. Coad	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

RESOLUTION in OPPOSITION to the INSTALLATION of
TOLL COLLECTION FACILITIES on INTERSTATE 95
(Resolution 2012-17)

WHEREAS, Interstate 95 (I-95) is a critical transportation corridor in Virginia that connects major metropolitan areas with the Commonwealth, serves as a link in the major north/south transportation corridor of the East Coast, supports numerous distribution centers that are a critical part of the Commonwealth's economy, and provides a vital route for the daily transportation needs of thousands of Virginia commuters and businesses; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) has developed the I-95 Corridor Improvement Program identifying the major repairs and improvements that are critically needed now and over the next 25 years; and

WHEREAS, VDOT's I-95 Vision Plan states that "[t]olling is one option to address the long-term funding needs of the I-95 corridor. Other funding alternatives will continue to be investigated."; and

RE: RESOLUTION OPPOSING TOLLS ON INTERSTATE 95 (CONTD)

WHEREAS, the most likely scenario being considered by VDOT for a tolling facility lies in the area south of Petersburg and another facility being located somewhere north of the I-295/I-95 interchange north of Richmond, with tolls of \$2 to \$4 for passenger vehicles and \$6 to \$12 for tractor-trailer vehicles anticipated; and

WHEREAS, the net revenue from these facilities is estimated by VDOT to be between \$25 million and \$30 million per year and initial construction costs would be approximately \$50 million with the ongoing operation and maintenance costs of these facilities not currently available.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Charles City County Board of Supervisors that it strongly opposes the installation of toll collection facilities on I-95 for the following reasons:

1. The proposed toll collection facilities would be an extremely inefficient means of collection of additional revenues. According to VDOT's own estimates, the equivalent of an entire year's revenue will be expended just to construct the facilities. No revenue will be collected during the estimated two-year construction period, but motorists on I-95 will suffer from construction delays. Thereafter, the annual costs of operating and maintaining the toll facilities will substantially reduce the revenue actually available for road improvements.
2. The prosecution of toll violators will pose an additional burden on state police and local judicial systems. Moreover, toll collection facilities invariably cause an increase in traffic congestion and increase in accidents.
3. Toll collection facilities on I-95 will result in increased traffic volumes on local roads as both local residents and through motorists seek to circumvent the toll collection facilities.
4. Toll collection facilities on I-95 where proposed would discourage the location of new supply chain logistic companies in the Richmond region and would adversely affect such existing operations.
5. Charles City County's population consists of many low- and moderate-income families who frequently travel I-95 for employment, medical care, or other needs, and the payment of tolls would be an undue financial burden on these citizens.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution be sent to the Governor, the Secretary of Transportation, the members of the Commonwealth Transportation Board, and the members of the Charles City County's legislative delegation, and that a response be requested from each on this matter of importance to Charles City County.

RE: MISCELLANEOUS ENGINEERING SERVICES CONTRACT

Motion was made by William Coda to authorize the County Administrator to approve County engineering expenses, up to \$7,500, that may arise. The motion carried as follows:

William G. Coda	Aye
Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

RE: ROXBURY WATER SYSTEM GENERATOR

The Board of Supervisors agreed to the purchase of a generator for Roxbury Industrial Center water distribution plant for \$16,500 from a supplier in Ohio and the repair of the generator at the Roxbury Industrial Center lower wastewater plant as presented by Mr. Coda.

RE: VISION 2030 – A STRATEGIC PLAN

Motion was made by Gilbert A. Smith to approve the endorsement of the Vision 2030 Strategic Plan as presented. The motion carried as follows:

William G. Coad	Aye
Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

RE: SOCIAL SERVICES UPDATE

Director of Social Services, Byron Adkins advised that there are changes being made to the Social Services supplemental nutrition assistance program. He explained that the assistance payments are reverting back to a schedule that was used in previous years and citizens may call the County when the payment is delayed due to the new schedule. Mr. Adkins advised County staff to direct inquiries to the Social Services staff.

RE: ANIMAL CONTROL DEPARTMENT

Mr. Franklin Bates, Animal Control Supervisor, briefed the Board on the paperwork, complaint policy, services and procedures of the Animal Control Department. Mr. Bates impressed upon the Board that complainants do not want to fill out complaint forms and want to stay confidential.

Mr. Coad stated complaint forms would be useful when an issue goes to court but that the administration of the Animal Control Department should be handled by the County Administrator, Zach Trogdon. The Board firmly agreed.

Mr. Trogdon stated that the public needed to be aware that anonymous complaints are acceptable, but that if an issue ever rises to the level of needing to go to the judicial system, an anonymous complaint may not allow for the County to completely follow through.

RE: POTENTIAL CDBG PROJECTS

Assistant County Administrator, Michelle Johnson, advised the Board that the County may be eligible for the Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) and that use of the grants may be put towards county projects that would benefit the community.

Ms. Johnson suggested the Ruthville Complex may be turned into an assisted living facility or some other community beneficial facility. She noted that only County-owned or 501(c)3 properties are eligible for these grants. She asked the Board to consider what they would like the unused County properties to be turned into so that when these grants are applied for, an agenda for development with the grant funds will be in progress.

RE: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SITES

County Administrator, Zach Trogdon, advised the Board that he met with representatives of the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission (RRPDC). The RRPDC is working on a Comprehensive Economic Development Study (CEDs) that will include potential sites available for economic development in the County. Essentially, they are focusing on creating clusters of industries around certain areas in the region. Some parts of the region are becoming supply chains and logistics focused.

As we move forward, we need to start looking at how we can take advantage of some of our existing industries and maybe draw on ones that are similar or complementary. It could be tourism, agriculture or agri-business, things of that nature that play to the County's strengths.

RE: BOARD DIRECTIVES/COMMENTS

1. **Directed staff** to diligently pursue the County customers who are behind on payment of their wastewater service utility bills.
2. **Mr. Floyd H. Miles, Sr.** questioned that if the use of the planned boat ramp could be restricted to county residents only.

Mr. John Bragg informed the Board that the funds being used to build the ramp are not federal funds so it may be restricted. He proposed that the planned parking lot may be enlarged with the grant funds the County already has for the program which have not already been allocated. The original engineering plan includes more parking spaces so that work is already done. He also explained that the Department of Game and Inland Fisheries recommends that we have no less than 30 boat trailer parking spots and the County's current plan has 12 and 16 private vehicle spots, which will go away quickly.

Mr. William Coada noted that he paid \$10 at Jordan Point to launch last weekend and that we may want to charge for launching at Lawrence Lewis, Jr. Park ramp.

Mr. John Bragg informed the Board that in the summer a boat ramp attendant will be on staff during certain times of the day so it would be simple to restrict and/or charge for use of the ramp.

The Board chose to not expand the planned parking area at this time and directed staff to check into the legalities of restricting use of the boat ramp.

3. **Mr. Floyd H. Miles, Sr.** noted that he has received negative comments from non-fishing enthusiasts regarding the County spending money on the boat ramp. He stated that he disagrees and that the boat ramp will benefit our residents immensely and will give the public access to the James River.

RE: CLOSED SESSION

Motion was made by William G. Coad to go into closed session to discuss the investment of public funds under Section 2.2-3711(A)(6) of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended. The motion carried as follows:

William G. Coad	Aye
Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

Motion was made by William G. Coad to return to regular session. The motion carried as follows:

Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
William G. Coad	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

Motion was made by Gilbert A. Smith that the Board of Supervisors discussed only public business matters lawfully exempted from statutory open meeting requirements and public business identified in the motion to convene the closed session. The motion carried as follows:

Floyd H. Miles, Sr.	Aye
William G. Coad	Aye
Gilbert A. Smith	Aye

There being no further business before the Board it hereby adjourned to meet again on Tuesday, **September 25, 2012** at 7:30 p.m. for the Board of Supervisors' regular meeting.